PwC Economic Impact Assessment report reconciliation of Table 41 (November 2016)

Prepared by McGuinness Institute 9 May 2017

Value add (\$m)				Table 41 (p. 49)		
	Column A (i)	Column A (ii)	Column A (iii)	Column B	Column C	Column D
	Total economic	Adjustments	BMP maximum production,	Baseline production (also from	Estimated decrease in economic	Estimated decrease in economic
	impacts from BMP	'incorporating		Table 16, p. 31)	impact from implementing	impact from implementing
	guidelines (From	,	viability and operational		maximum BMP production,	maximum BMP production
	Table 24 (p.36))	operational considerations'	considerations (also from Table 25, p. 37)		incorporating commercial viability	
		considerations	ταδίο 25, β. 57		and operational considerations	
McGuinness Institute terminology	Best practice ¹	Adjustments by NZKS ²	Adjusted best practice ³	Worst practice	Difference	Difference
	Col A (i)	Col A (ii)	Col A (iii)	Col B	Col C = Col A-Col B	Col D = Col A (i)-Col B
Otanerau	3	0	3	3.1	-0.1	-0.1
Ruakaka⁴	3	3	0	3.5	-3.5	-0.5
Forsyth⁵	4	2.3	1.7	1.7	0	2.3
Waihinau⁵	4	2.3	1.7	1.7	0	2.3
Crail Bay MFL32 ⁴	2	2	0	0	0	2
Crail Bay MFL48 ⁴	2	2	0	0	0	2
Total	18	11.6	6.4	10	-3.6	8

¹ P. 36: 'The BMP production figures assume that the benthic impacts are the limiting factor.'

Note: Baseline production refers to potential future production not operating under best manangement practice (BMP) guidelines at six existing low-flow salmon farm sites. (p. 29)

² We were unable to determine what supporting evidence was used to make these adjustments.

³ P. 36: 'The figures in Table 25 ... consider the benthic impacts in conjunction with the commercial viability and operational considerations of each site as the limiting factor.'

⁴ Assumption 1 – NZKS say they will not operate these sites as not commercially viable: 'If the sites are not commercially viable, the resulting economic contribution is nil.' NZKS have advised PwC that Ruakaka and the two Crail Bay sites are not commercially viable (see p. 36). Cabinet Paper December 2016 p. 4, FN 4 states: 'Given they have not been used recently they are the lowest priority for location.'

⁵ Assumption 2 – NZKS say they will operate these sites even though they are not commercially viable: 'From discussions with MPI and NZKS, we understand that NZKS would operate the Forsyth and Waihinau salmon farm sites even if they were not commercially viable as stand-alone operations, as they would help achieve single year class on NZKS's Pelorus high-flow-sites.' (p. 37)